

CogLab: Making Inferences

recap: Oct 24/26, 2023

- what we covered:
 - manipulating data using tidyverse verbs
 - linear regression
- your to-do's were:
 - prep: complete all primers
 - prep: read about hypothesis testing
 - schedule: group meeting

today's agenda

- linear regression continued
- two-way/multiple linear regression

linear regression

- a linear regression (or a linear model) is a model that fits a line to a set of data points
 - Y = aX + b
 - Y: dependent variable
 - X: independent variable
 - aš pš
- a: slope, b: intercept
- sometimes, we reorder this equation:
 - $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$
 - $\beta_{0:}$ intercept (where the line cuts the y-axis)
 - β_1 : slope (the change in y due to x)
- in this framework, the null hypothesis (H₀) is that $\beta_1 = 0$, i.e., there is no change in y due to x
 - $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$



linear regression in R

- predict height by weight
- print the summary of the model
- what is the equation of the line?

women_model = lm(data = women, height ~ weight)

summary(women_model)

Call: lm(formula = height ~ weight, data = women)

Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -0.83233 -0.26249 0.08314 0.34353 0.49790

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 25.723456 1.043746 24.64 2.68e-12 *** weight 0.287249 0.007588 37.85 1.09e-14 *** ---Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.44 on 13 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.991, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9903 F-statistic: 1433 on 1 and 13 DF, p-value: 1.091e-14

linear regression and correlation

- correlations also describe the relationship between Y and X, so what's the difference?
- mathematically, correlations are equivalent to a linear model where a line is being fit to a set of data points
- two common correlation
 - Pearson's r: r = slope if x and y have the same standard deviation
 - Spearman's rho = same linear model but with ranks of x and Y
 - rank(y) = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \operatorname{rank}(x)$



linear regression and correlation

- compute the standard deviation of the height and weight columns
- create two new columns that contain the z-scored height and weight
- compute the standard deviation of the z-scored height and weight columns

sd(women\$height)
sd(women\$weight)

```
women = women %>%
mutate(z_height = scale(height),
        z_weight = scale(weight))
```

sd(women\$height)
sd(women\$weight)

linear regression and correlation

- predict the z-scored height with the z-scored weight using linear regression
- now compute the correlation between the two columns using summarize() and cor()

women_model_2 = lm(data = women, z_height ~ z_weight)
summary(women_model_2)

```
Call:
lm(formula = z_height ~ z_weight, data = women)
Residuals:
    Min
             10 Median
                              3Q
                                     Max
-0.18611 -0.05869 0.01859 0.07682 0.11133
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -8.268e-16 2.541e-02
                                 0.00
z_weight
           9.955e-01 2.630e-02 37.85 1.09e-14 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.0984 on 13 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.991,
                            Adjusted R-squared: 0.9903
F-statistic: 1433 on 1 and 13 DF, p-value: 1.091e-14
women %>%
   summarise(r = cor(z_height, z_weight))
                                                                       1 0.9954948
```

linear regression and t-tests

- unpaired/independent samples ttest
 - $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$
 - x = 0 or 1 (which group)
 - $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$
 - comparing paired differences and testing whether the difference is significantly different from 0
 - note that "x" here contains information about group membership for each y



revisiting iris

• recall that iris contains flower petal and sepal information for three species

Species	Petal.Width 🎈	Petal.Length 🎈	Sepal.Width 🎈	Sepal.Length 🎈
setosa	0.2	1.4	3.5	5.1
setosa	0.2	1.4	3.0	4.9
setosa	0.2	1.3	3.2	4.7
setosa	0.2	1.5	3.1	4.6
setosa	0.2	1.4	3.6	5.0
setosa	0.4	1.7	3.9	5.4
setosa	0.3	1.4	3.4	4.6
setosa	0.2	1.5	3.4	5.0
setosa	0.2	1.4	2.9	4.4
setosa	0.1	1.5	3.1	4.9
setosa	0.2	1.5	3.7	5.4
setosa	0.2	1.6	3.4	4.8
setosa	0.1	1.4	3.0	4.8
setosa	0.1	1.1	3.0	4.3
setosa	0.2	1.2	4.0	5.8
setosa	0.4	1.5	4.4	5.7

iris setosa

data("iris")

View(iris)





petal

sepal

iris versicolor

iris virginica



petal sepal

sepal

subset of iris

- create a subset of iris that only contains setosa and virginica
- plot the petal lengths by species in a boxplot



t -test



iris_subset %>%
ggplot(aes(x = Species, y = Petal.Length))+
geom_col()



comparing

- create linear model
- conduct t-test



iris_subset_lm = lm(data = iris_subset, Petal.Length ~ Species)
summary(iris_subset_lm)

Call: lm(formula = Petal.Length ~ Species, data = iris_subset) Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.0520 -0.1620 0.0380 0.1405 1.3480 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>ItI)

 (Intercept)
 1.46200
 0.05786
 25.27
 <2e-16</th>

 Speciesvirginica
 4.09000
 0.08182
 49.99
 <2e-16</td>

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.4091 on 98 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9623, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9619 F-statistic: 2499 on 1 and 98 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

t.test(Petal.Length ~ Species, data = iris_subset)

Welch Two Sample t-test

testing more than two groups

- a t-test is a special case of linear models
- it is also a special case of only comparing two groups
- example of comparing more than two groups?

ANOVA: Analysis of Variance

- a generalized t-test for more than two means/groups!
- key idea: we will try to understand the difference between groups and whether it can be attributed to our "conditions" or randomness
- SS_{between} = variation between groups
- SS_{within} = variation within groups
- $F = SS_{between}/Ss_{within}$
- If F > 1, the group differences are greater than what would be expected as random variation within groups





types of ANOVAs

- n(independent variables)
 - one-way
 - two-way
 - three-way
- within or between subjects
 - between subjects: regular ANOVA
 - within-subjects: repeated measures ANOVA





one-way ANOVA

 predict the petal lengths using the full iris dataset



full_iris_model = lm(data = iris, Petal.Length ~ Species)
summary(full_iris_model)

Call: lm(formula = Petal.Length ~ Species, data = iris)

Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.260 -0.258 0.038 0.240 1.348

Coefficients:

 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

 (Intercept)
 1.46200
 0.06086
 24.02
 <2e-16 ***</td>

 Speciesversicolor
 2.79800
 0.08607
 32.51
 <2e-16 ***</td>

 Speciesvirginica
 4.09000
 0.08607
 47.52
 <2e-16 ***</td>

 -- Signif. codes:
 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.4303 on 147 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9414, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9406 F-statistic: 1180 on 2 and 147 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

full_iris_aov = aov(data = iris, Petal.Length ~ Species)
summary(full_iris_aov)

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F) Species 2 437.1 218.55 1180 <2e-16 *** Residuals 147 27.2 0.19 ---Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

follow-up tests

- when more than two groups are present, it can be useful to understand exactly which groups differ from each other
- install emmeans package
- load the package inline and compute pairwise differences
- compare to Im summary

Call: lm(formula = Petal.Length ~ Species, data = iris)

Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.260 -0.258 0.038 0.240 1.348

Coefficients:

 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>ltl)

 (Intercept)

 1.46200
 0.06086
 24.02
 <2e-16 ***</td>

 Speciesversicolor
 2.79800
 0.08607
 32.51
 <2e-16 ***</td>

 Speciesvirginica
 4.09000
 0.08607
 47.52
 <2e-16 ***</td>

 -- Signif. codes:
 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.4303 on 147 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9414, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9406 F-statistic: 1180 on 2 and 147 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

\$emmeans			-		
Species	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
setosa	1.46	0.0609	147	1.34	1.58
versicolor	4.26	0.0609	147	4.14	4.38
virginica	5.55	0.0609	147	5.43	5.67

Confidence level used: 0.95

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
setosa - versicolor	-2.80	0.0861	147	-32.510	<.0001
setosa - virginica	-4.09	0.0861	147	-47.521	<.0001
versicolor - virginica	-1.29	0.0861	147	-15.012	<.0001

P value adjustment: tukey method for comparing a family of 3 estimates

next class

• before class

- resubmit: formative assignment #2
- finalize: experiment
- submit: pre-registration
- during class
 - multiple regression in R
 - linear models for non-independent data